ARTICLE

Offsets, Outcomes, and Activation Funds: Lifting Environmental Repair Using High-Integrity Markets

April 2026

Offsets, Outcomes, and Activation Funds: Lifting Environmental Repair Using High-Integrity Markets

Eco-Markets Australia — Nature. Valued.

The following four-part analysis introduces a structural shift in environmental investment policy. It proposes lifting the resourcing of environmental repair above the foundation of discretionary spending by unlocking corporate investment into verifiable environmental improvements with clear economic value. By aligning policies for landscape repair, biodiversity restoration, and environmental offsets with natural capital markets, we can transform environmental restoration from a public investment cost centre into a scalable, shared investment asset class. Environmental markets can help bridge the funding gap and encourage outcomes-led activities, delivering much needed productivity improvements, innovation and new scalable funding streams to a sector dominated by grant funding.

Part 1: The Policy Divide—From Promises to Performance

To most practitioners, climate change and environmental degradation are deeply connected. Despite this link, they are frequently managed as adjacent rather than integrated policy challenges. Currently, carbon policy is framed around rigorous emissions reduction monitored and accounted for with a vibrant, if not evolving, carbon credit market, while environmental protection often remains stuck in "science-led" initiatives with a distinctly philanthropic or "public good" tone. As a public good, funding is expected to be through Government grants. What if we could align these two deeply connected worlds and reward organisations that demonstrate environmental outcomes!

The divide has left nature repair reliant on grant-based resourcing predominantly allocated to "good stories" or promises of great outcomes, often without objectively measurements. Unlike measuring a tonne of carbon abatement nature is complex and considered difficult to quantify with Government often assuming that improvements are achieved. By paying for activities the risk of failure sits with the funder (usually the taxpayer in the case of nature repair), a model that only works with grant or donation-based funding approaches. Unfortunately, this is not working with nature repair or restoration, with a global funding gap in the hundreds of millions of dollars per year. To address the funding gap, policy must shift toward an outcomes-based mechanism that rewards natural resource managers for verified results rather than projected benefits.

Part 2: Scaling Investment through High-Integrity Markets

When established alongside grants, natural capital markets can attract much needed corporate capital. Markets recognise and account for risk, and reward outcomes rather than activities. In natural capital markets, outcomes can be measured, verified, and captured as credits that carry the exclusive right of the owner to claim an environmental benefit that they know has been achieved, eliminating greenwashing.

The integrity of this system is rooted in timing: credits are only issued after the environmental outcome has been achieved and independently verified. Because the project proponent carries the delivery risk until verification, buyers pay a premium for the surety of the impact.

Why would a buyer pay a premium and, better still, how can we reward credit buyers? Unfortunately, reporting expectations like TNFD and ESG provide only limited incentives for corporate investment, with ESG remaining mostly discretionary and only distantly impacting on a company's balance sheet. More recently environmental risk has been proposed as the next wave of environmental finance market drivers, but unfortunately for a business is difficult to identify, quantify and is often speculative, limiting financial commitments. Currently, the most robust and enduring way to bring corporate capital to nature repair is through environmental offsets.

Part 3: ENVOMARK Credits—The Assured Offset

Verified credits, such as ENVOMARK Reef Credits, are the best option for environmental offsets. Under the established mitigation hierarchy, companies must first avoid and minimize damage, using offsets only as a last resort. In a mature credit market, the damage from a development could be offset by purchasing credits that match both the timing and specific impact of the project, remediating unavoidable damage with risk-free repair that has already been achieved. For example, a port in North Queensland can offset dredging impacts on the Great Barrier Reef in 2026 by purchasing ENVOMARK Reef Credits issued in 2026.

While this "like-for-like" approach seeks to ensure remediation occurs concurrently with, or before harm, current practices often rely on future promises or fines. In addition to the risk of failure, these arrangements often lack quantified outcomes and, in the case of paying a fine, shift the responsibility of "solving the problem" back to the regulator. If credits were available, policy could "ratchet up" compliance obligations for these inferior options, ensuring high-integrity credits become the most affordable and efficient pathway. This would deliver the best economic solution for companies and the best environmental outcome for nature.

Part 4: Realigning Policy Objectives

Mandated offsets are the "stick" that puts nature on the balance sheet. To drive uptake, governments should apply a "risk of failure" adjustment to remediation promises and fines. For instance, while high-integrity credits could represent 1:1 remediation, a company electing for a "promised" future remediation plan might be required to account for 2x the offset to compensate for the risk of failure. Fines, which transfer all risk to the government, could warrant a 10x or more adjustment.

To assist the regulator of delivering environmental outcomes accounting for the development, offset fees could be directed into a Fund to seed future environmental credit programs. This fund would reduce project risk, accelerate credit availability, and create a self-sustaining Markets Activation Fund that grows with the market. Ultimately, this strategy leverages public money as a catalyst to "crowd in" private investment, resulting in a nature repair system scaled to meet the urgency of the environmental challenge.

Summary Environmental markets are a scalable solution to narrow the funding gap, attracting corporate investment to verified outcomes. This in-turn shifts the focus from a promise to a derisked environmental outcome, encouraging productivity and innovation in nature repair. By using offsets as the primary mechanism to scale these markets, they ensure environmental impacts are redressed while recognizing nature as a real asset on the global balance sheet.